never appoint under any circumstances, and in effect make the appointment himself.

I think it is far less dangerous to have this happen in the higher level courts where the circuits are large and where the focus of public attention is great; where the evil is most likely to occur, if it ever does occur, in connection with the nominating committees; that the commissions would recommend eligible appointments to the superior court, or to the district court. It is there that the governor should be protected by making his choice at least a real one, by giving him the opportunity to pick at least three men.

I am sorry that the case of Garrett County comes back and plays a part in so many of our deliberations.

Hard cases make bad law. They have six lawyers there anyway, and I think they will be able to find three eligible appointees.

In any event, on the one side you have protecting the executive power from the possibility that the nominating commissions will be abused in the local areas, especially with respect to the district court and superior court judges.